IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.81765-M of 2006
Date of Decision:- 22.1.2007

Reena & another ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.D.C.Dhaula, Advocate

vs.

State of Punjab & ors. ....Respondent(s)

through
Ms.Neelofer A.Parveen, AAG, Punjab.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

SURYA KANT, J.

In deference to the order dated January 08, 2007, it is stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that since the documents, which depict the date of birth of petitioner No.1, are presently lying with respondent No.4 (father of petitioner No.1) and the petitioners are not in a position to produce those documents unless some indulgence is granted by this Court. He, however, has referred to the averments made by petitioner No.1 in her affidavit to the effect that both the petitioners are 19 years and 21 years of age respectively.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Neelofer Abida Parveen, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and after taking note of the averments made in the petition, though it appears that the apprehension expressed by the petitioners and the allegations made by them against respondents No.4 and 5, namely, the parents of petitioner No.1 are totally unfounded, however, keeping in view the stand taken by them to the

effect that they have already married and are living together as husband and wife, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners that in case they apprehend any actual threat to their life and liberty, they can move an application to the SHO, Police Station, Garhshanker, District Hoshiarpur and if any such application/complaint is made by them, the said S.H.O. is directed to ensure that no harm to their life and liberty is caused.

January 22, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**